1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT				
2					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375				
4	Deputy Attorney General ELAINE GYURKO				
5	Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice				
6	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013				
7	Telephone: (213) 897-4944 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA			
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. S-385			
13	ERIC JASON HAYES	STATEMENT OF ISSUES			
14	15628 Georges Letour Avenue Bakersfield, California 93314				
15	Respondent.				
16					
17	Complainant alleges:				
18	<u>PARTIES</u>				
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in				
20	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California (Board),				
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.				
22	2. On or about November 13, 2006, the Board received an application for a				
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License from Eric Jason Hayes (Respondent). On or about				
24	November 4, 2006, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all				
25	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on				
26	April 26, 2007.				
27	<u>JURISDICTION</u>				
28	3. This Statement of Issues is bro	ught before the Board under the authority of			

any of the following:

"(a) Obtained or possessed in violation of law, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administered to himself or herself, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code. . . . "

" "

9. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

10. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"

- "(b) Conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty theft, or larceny.
- "(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless

1	driving while under the influence.		
2	· · · · · ·		
3	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>		
4	11. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:		
5	"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the		
6	board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or		
7	applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the		
8	board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the		
9	case."		
10	12. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:		
11	"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution		
12	shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees		
13	and other administrative, filing, and service fees."		
14	13. Section 3753.1, subdivision (a) of the Code states:		
15	"An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may		
16	include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the		
17	monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."		
18	CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE		
19	14. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance pursuant to Health		
20	and Safety Code Section 11054.		
21	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION		
22	(Conviction of a Crime)		
23	15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and		
24	Professions Code sections 3750, subdivision (d), 3752, and California Code of Regulations		
25	Title 16, section 1399.370, subdivisions (b) and (c), in conjunction with Business and		
26	Professions Code section 3732, subdivision (b), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes		
27	substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care		
28	practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:		

January 10, 2002 Conviction

A. On or about December 30, 2001, a Kern Count Deputy Sheriff made an enforcement stop after he observed Respondent swerving while driving his vehicle. The deputy sheriff detected a strong odor of alcohol coming from inside of the vehicle. A California Highway Patrol Officer was then dispatched to the location. Upon contact with Respondent, the patrol officer detected an odor of alcohol coming from Respondent. The officer observed that Respondent's eyes were red and watery, and his voice was thick and slurred. When the officer asked him if he had consumed any alcohol, Respondent stated he had two gin and tonic drinks earlier in the evening. He failed to successfully complete the field sobriety tests. The officer found a small plastic bindle containing suspected rock cocaine on the rear floorboard of the vehicle. Respondent refused to submit to a chemical test. He was arrested for possession of cocaine and driving under the influence of alcohol.

- B. On or about January 7, 2002, in Kern County Superior Court Complaint No. BM614539A, Respondent was charged with violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor (Count 1) and Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a), driving without a valid license, a misdemeanor (Count 2).
- C. On or about January 10, 2002, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of guilty to driving under the influence of alcohol (Count 1) and driving without a valid license (Count 2). As to Count 1, Respondent was placed on probation for three years with the following terms and conditions, among others: serve 2 days in county jail (with credit for 2 days), pay a fine in the amount of \$1,258.00 and complete an alcohol education program. As to Count 2, the Court ordered the fine suspended.

August 27, 2001 Conviction

D. On or about March 27, 2001, a Washington State Patrol Officer

made an enforcement stop after he observed Respondent speeding. Upon contact with Respondent, the officer smelled a strong odor of intoxicants coming from the inside of the vehicle. The officer noticed that Respondent's speech was slow and slurred, and his eyes were watery and bloodshot. When asked if he had been drinking, Respondent stated, "I started drinking yesterday morning." He failed to successfully complete the field sobriety tests. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. The results of his breath test indicated his breath alcohol concentration was .124%.

- E. On or about April 4, 2001, in Pierce County District Court No. 1 of the State of Washington Cause No. 1YC001049, Respondent was charged with driving under the influence of intoxicants, in violation of RCW 46.61.502(1)(a)(b)(c), a gross misdemeanor (Count 1).
- F. On or about August 27, 2001, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of guilty to driving under the influence of intoxicants. He was placed on probation for five years on the following terms and conditions, among others: serve 365 days in jail (with 364 days suspended), serve one day at an alternative DUI detention program, complete alcohol information school, complete a one day DUI victims panel program and pay a fine in the amount of \$785.00.

April 27, 1995 Conviction

- G. In 1994, in County Criminal Court at Law No. 14 of Harris County, Texas Information No. 9444370, Respondent was charged with theft, a misdemeanor, following his arrest on or about June 1, 1993. On or about January 24, 1995, proceedings were deferred. Respondent was placed on probation for 180 days. He was ordered to pay fines and fees in the amount of \$217.00 and perform 24 hours of community service.
- H. On or about March 21, 1995, Respondent violated his probation. On or about April 27, 1995, the court found Respondent guilty of the crime of theft.

 Respondent was ordered to serve 15 days in jail (with credit for 2 days), and pay

fines and fees in the amount of \$360.00.

2

3 4

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

April 27, 1995 Conviction

I. In 1995, in County Criminal Court at Law No. 14 of Harris County, Texas Information No. 9509791, Respondent was charged with possession of marijuana, following his arrest on or about March 9, 1995. On or about April 27, 1995, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of guilty to possession of marijuana, a misdemeanor. He was ordered to serve 15 days in jail (with credit for 2 days) and pay fees of \$205.00.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Commission of a Fraudulent, Dishonest, or Corrupt Act)

16. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 3750, subdivision (j), in conjunction with section 3732, subdivision (b), in that he committed a fraudulent, dishonest or corrupt act that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. Respondent was convicted of theft on or about April 27, 1995. The facts and circumstances, set forth above in Paragraph 15, subparagraphs G and H of this Statement of Issues, are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Possession of a Controlled Substance)

17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code section 3750.5, subdivision (a), in conjunction with section 3732, subdivision (b), in that he was convicted of possession of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance, on April 27, 1995. The facts and circumstances, set forth above in Paragraph 15, subparagraph I of this Statement of Issues, are incorporated herein by reference.

1	<u>PRAYER</u>		
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matter		
3	herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board is	sue a decision:	
4	1. Denying the application of Eric Jason Hayes for a Res	piratory Care	
5	Practitioner License;		
6	2. Directing Eric Jason Hayes to pay the Respiratory Car	e Board the	
7	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the cost		
8	of probation monitoring;		
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necess	sary and	
10	proper.		
11	DATED: <u>August 29, 2007</u>		
12			
13	Original signed by:		
14	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	-	
15	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs		
16	State of California Complainant		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			